Women and Terrorism
Hidden Threats, Forgotten Partners

Jamille Bigio and Rachel Vogelstein
Women and Terrorism
Hidden Threats, Forgotten Partners

Jamille Bigio and Rachel Vogelstein
The Council on Foreign Relations (CFR) is an independent, nonpartisan membership organization, think tank, and publisher dedicated to being a resource for its members, government officials, business executives, journalists, educators and students, civic and religious leaders, and other interested citizens in order to help them better understand the world and the foreign policy choices facing the United States and other countries. Founded in 1921, CFR carries out its mission by maintaining a diverse membership, including special programs to promote interest and develop expertise in the next generation of foreign policy leaders; convening meetings at its headquarters in New York and in Washington, DC, and other cities where senior government officials, members of Congress, global leaders, and prominent thinkers come together with CFR members to discuss and debate major international issues; supporting a Studies Program that fosters independent research, enabling CFR scholars to produce articles, reports, and books and hold roundtables that analyze foreign policy issues and make concrete policy recommendations; publishing Foreign Affairs, the preeminent journal of international affairs and U.S. foreign policy; sponsoring Independent Task Forces that produce reports with both findings and policy prescriptions on the most important foreign policy topics; and providing up-to-date information and analysis about world events and American foreign policy on its website, CFR.org.

The Council on Foreign Relations takes no institutional positions on policy issues and has no affiliation with the U.S. government. All views expressed in its publications and on its website are the sole responsibility of the author or authors.

For further information about CFR or this paper, please write to the Council on Foreign Relations, 58 East 68th Street, New York, NY 10065, or call Communications at 212.434.9888. Visit CFR's website, CFR.org.

Copyright © 2019 by the Council on Foreign Relations®, Inc. All rights reserved.

This paper may not be reproduced in whole or in part, in any form beyond the reproduction permitted by Sections 107 and 108 of the U.S. Copyright Law Act (17 U.S.C. Sections 107 and 108) and excerpts by reviewers for the public press, without express written permission from the Council on Foreign Relations.

This report is printed on paper that is FSC® Chain-of-Custody Certified by a printer who is certified by BM TRADA North America Inc.
# CONTENTS

1 INTRODUCTION  
3 UNDERSTANDING WOMEN’S ROLES IN TERRORISM  
16 POLICY CONSIDERATIONS FOR THE UNITED STATES  
24 CONCLUSION  

25 Endnotes  
35 Acknowledgments  
36 About the Authors  
38 Advisory Committee
INTRODUCTION

Extremist groups rely upon women to gain strategic advantage, recruiting them as facilitators and martyrs while also benefiting from their subjugation. Yet U.S. policymakers overlook the roles that women play in violent extremism—including as perpetrators, mitigators, and victims—and rarely enlist their participation in efforts to combat radicalization. This omission puts the United States at a disadvantage in its efforts to prevent terrorism globally and within its borders.

The number of women implicated in terrorism-related crimes is growing. In 2017, the Global Extremism Monitor registered 100 distinct suicide attacks conducted by 181 female militants, 11 percent of all incidents that year. In 2016, women constituted 26 percent of those arrested on terrorism charges in Europe, up from 18 percent the year before. While counterterrorism efforts have reduced the physical stronghold previously held by the Islamic State group, women fuel extremists’ continued influence by advancing their ideology online and by indoctrinating their families. New technology allows for more sophisticated outreach, directly targeting messages to radicalize and recruit women. It also provides a platform on which female extremists thrive by expanding their recruitment reach and taking on greater operational roles in the virtual sphere. The failure of counterterrorist efforts to understand the ways in which women radicalize, support, and perpetrate violence cedes the benefit of their involvement to extremist groups.

Omitting women from terrorism prevention efforts also forfeits their potential contributions as mitigators of extremism. Women are well positioned to detect early signs of radicalization, because fundamentalists often target women’s rights first. As security officials, women provide insights and information that can be mission critical in keeping the peace. And because of their distinctive access and influence, women
are crucial antiterrorism messengers in schools, religious institutions, social environments, and local government. Overlooking the contributions women can make to prevent extremism renders the United States less secure.

Many extremist groups promote an ideology that classifies women as second-class citizens and offers strategic and financial benefits through women’s subjugation. Boko Haram, the Islamic State, al-Qaeda, al-Shabab, and other groups use sexual violence to terrorize populations into compliance, displace civilians from strategic areas, enforce unit cohesion among fighters, and even generate revenue through trafficking. Suppressing women’s rights also allows extremists to control reproduction and harness female labor.

U.S. government policy and programs continue to underestimate the important roles women can play as perpetrators, mitigators, or targets of violent extremism. Although successive Republican and Democratic administrations have taken modest steps to address women’s roles in terrorism, more action is needed. To help prevent and reduce terrorism, the Donald J. Trump administration should produce a National Intelligence Estimate to analyze the ways in which women provide material support to extremist groups; increase resources to facilitate women’s involvement in terrorism prevention efforts; and improve the recruitment, retention, and advancement of women across the security sector to bolster the capacity of forces to mitigate potential terrorist threats. These steps will help the United States and its allies respond effectively to the security threat posed by violent extremism and advance U.S. peace and stability.
Understanding and addressing women’s paths to radicalization and the roles they play in violent extremism is crucial to disrupting terrorists’ abilities to recruit, deploy, and abuse them. To reduce the evolving terrorist threat at home and abroad, U.S. counterterrorism strategy should recognize and address the roles of women as perpetrators, mitigators, and targets of violent extremism.

PERPETRATORS

Throughout history, women have joined and supported violent extremist groups, serving as combatants, recruiters, and fundraisers and in numerous other roles critical to operational success. Although women are often ignored in conventional depictions of violent political actors, they have been active participants in 60 percent of armed rebel groups over the past several decades. In Algeria, for instance, female National Liberation Front fighters evaded checkpoints in the 1950s to deploy bombs at strategic urban targets. In Sri Lanka in the 1990s, all-female battalions earned a reputation for their fierce discipline and ruthless combat. Women represented nearly 40 percent of the Revolutionary Armed Forces of Columbia (FARC), serving in all operational roles, including as combat unit leaders, allowing the group to vastly expand its military capacity.

Women have also helped found militant groups, from Germany’s Baader-Meinhof gang to the Japanese Red Army. Even in cases where women’s leadership was invisible, they frequently provided operationally critical support, ranging from weapons transport to combatant recruitment. Women have also contributed to the normalization of violence: between 1921 and 1931, for example, the women’s wing of the Ku
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Klux Klan attracted a membership of more than half a million, and their participation in widespread lynching campaigns made targeted political violence more acceptable and even respectable in some communities.¹

**Violence**

Today, women-led attacks are on the rise. Several all-female extremist cells have been disrupted in recent years, from a group of ten women in Morocco found obtaining chemicals used to make explosives, to a woman and her two daughters in London plotting to attack tourists at the British Museum.⁴ Female members of Boko Haram have been so effective—killing more than 1,200 people between 2014 and 2018—that women now comprise close to two-thirds of the group’s suicide attackers.⁵ Attacks by women have been growing not only in number but also in severity. In Nigeria, the most deadly incident in 2018 involved three women bombers who killed twenty people in a crowded marketplace.⁶ In Indonesia, the deadliest attacks in decades were carried out by two family units that included both women and children.⁷ Female suicide attacks are more lethal on average than those conducted by men: according to one study of five different terrorist groups, attacks carried out by women had an average of 8.4 victims—compared to 5.3 for attacks carried out by men—and were less likely to fail.⁸

While some women are kidnapped and forcibly conscripted into violence, many voluntarily join extremist groups for reasons similar to those of male recruits, including ideological commitment or social ties.⁹ Others join in hopes of gaining freedom and access to resources; in Nigeria, for example, some women joined Boko Haram to receive Koranic education in a region where only 4 percent of girls have the opportunity to finish secondary school.¹⁰

**Recruitment and Operational Support**

Women also participate in recruitment, fundraising, propaganda dissemination, and other forms of material support for violent extremism. In 2014, a network of fifteen women across the United States was charged for transferring thousands of dollars to al-Shabab militants in Somalia, using small transactions and coded language to avoid detection.¹¹ Palestinian women have been arrested for running fraudulent charitable organizations that funneled money to the Palestinian Islamic Jihad. In Indonesia, police identified a pattern of women marrying foreign Islamic State fighters and then remaining in the country
to fundraise. And in Pakistan, wives of Jemaah Islamiyah leaders served as the group’s bookkeepers and managed significant fundraising efforts.\textsuperscript{12}

Women also play a wide variety of auxiliary roles that can be integral to the operational success of extremist groups. Armed insurgencies abetted by women control more territory and are more likely to achieve victory over government forces, in part because women’s participation signals greater community support, increases perceived legitimacy, and contributes to tactical effectiveness.\textsuperscript{13} Radical white nationalist leaders across multiple groups in the United States have commented that women’s participation stabilizes membership, and that women are more likely to remain as members than men.\textsuperscript{14} For insurgencies or terrorist groups focused on state-building, such as the Islamic State, women carry out essential tasks that bolster capacity, like feeding and clothing combatants, transporting weapons, and educating new recruits. Across ideologies, women play a crucial role in indoctrinating their families, facilitating both radicalization and terrorist recruitment.\textsuperscript{15} In Islamic State–held territory, for example, women raised the children they had brought with them and gave birth to over seven hundred more as part of a strategy to grow the caliphate.\textsuperscript{16}

Modern extremist groups use social media to actively enlist women into supportive roles, reaching unprecedented numbers through narrowcasting—creating a targeted message for a specific subgroup.\textsuperscript{17} For instance, the Islamic State’s concerted campaign to recruit Western women emphasized camaraderie, sisterhood, and opportunities to enjoy freedom and adventure as state-builders.\textsuperscript{18} Nearly 20 percent of Western recruits to the Islamic State are female, a markedly higher rate than in other Islamist jihadi groups.\textsuperscript{19}

Once enlisted, women are also especially effective as recruiters: one study of online pro–Islamic State groups found that female recruiters had higher network connectivity than men, making them more effective at spreading the Islamic State’s message than their male counterparts—an important finding given that an increasing number of extremists are radicalized online. Women’s participation also improved the survival rate of online pro–Islamic State groups, extending the time before technology companies shut them down.\textsuperscript{20}

\textit{Accountability and Reintegration}

Criminal justice responses often fail to address the diverse roles that female members of extremist groups hold. Many female members of
the Islamic State joined voluntarily and played active roles in recruiting tens of thousands of foreign fighters to the cause. However, after women voluntarily join, some are required to remain against their will and coerced into continued service. Local women in Iraq and Syria, for instance, often found themselves coerced into service for the Islamic State in order to survive when their homes were overtaken by militias. Other women are targeted and trafficked into extremist groups and forced to perpetrate crimes. Boko Haram strategically kidnapped young girls and teenagers and forced many into suicide missions, raising questions about their agency and accountability. Other trafficking victims, however, become sympathetic to the group after exposure to its ideology. Some female members of Boko Haram who had initially been forced into service decided to stay in the group voluntarily after finding they had access to resources and power unavailable to them in their home communities.

Despite the complexities of women’s roles in violence, officials in criminal justice systems around the world often assume that women who commit violence are either naive victims of circumstance or dangerous deviants from the natural order. Correspondingly, approaches to women’s repatriation and reintegration vary significantly with respect to their criminal and civil accountability.

Criminal justice leaders sometimes view women as casualties of terrorism regardless of their motivation, resulting in fewer arrests for terrorism-related crimes and shorter-than-average sentences. This phenomenon has occurred across the United States and Europe; in the Balkans, governments do not account for noncombatant support provided by female affiliates of the Islamic State, and most female returnees avoid prosecution altogether.

In other cases, officials in criminal justice systems have imposed overly harsh consequences for female returnees as compared to their male counterparts. German courts have charged women returning from Syria with war crimes while indicting men under domestic terrorism legislation. Although the United States and the United Kingdom have permitted many male foreign fighters to return and face trial, both governments refused reentry of female Islamic State affiliates—including Shamima Begum and Hoda Muthana—and revoked their citizenship. In Iraq, female Islamic State affiliates face the harshest possible punishments—death or life in prison—even when the women have not been involved in violent acts and argued they had been coerced into traveling to Islamic State territory.
Once female extremists are identified, prison and rehabilitation programs designed for men fail to address the underlying causes of women’s radicalization. Women who joined violent political groups such as the FARC in Colombia and the Sri Lankan Liberation Tigers of Tamil Eelam reported that membership provided greater freedom than could be found in traditional society. When female fighters return to communities where social norms remain unchanged, they sometimes rejoin extremist groups, a trend observed with female members of Boko Haram in Nigeria and FARC women in Colombia (who were less likely to demobilize than male members between 2003 and 2012). Furthermore, programs often fail to provide training in livelihood skills that could help women support themselves and their children, instead offering training in stereotypically feminine, low-wage activities such as hairstyling and sewing. And few programs provide adequate services for women’s specific needs, such as appropriate support for victims of trauma or sexual violence.

Children also complicate the reintegration of female returnees. In some cases, communities that are willing to embrace returnees refuse to accept descendants of terrorists. For children born in war zones, issues of citizenship present a serious challenge for social services, and states have not reached consensus about responsibility for and repatriation of this population. And as their parents await judgment, many children languish in dire conditions. Some children, including Shamima Begum’s infant son, have died in custody.

As governments determine their approaches toward repatriating and holding accountable Islamic State–affiliated women, thousands wait in Iraqi displacement camps. Without either a comprehensive criminal justice response or sufficient resources for rehabilitation, returning women are likely to fall through the cracks.

**MITIGATORS**

Women are already on the front lines when it comes to preventing and countering violent extremism in their communities. Yet their voices remain sidelined from mainstream counterterrorism debates. Incorporating women’s distinctive perspectives can lead to better intelligence gathering and more targeted responses to potential security threats. Women-led civil society groups are particularly critical partners in mitigating violence, though counterterrorism efforts too often fail to enlist them.
Predictors

Women are well positioned to recognize early signs of radicalization because attacks on their rights and physical autonomy are often the first indication of a rise in fundamentalism. Women are substantially more likely than men to be early victims of extremism, through harassment in public spaces, forced segregation, dress requirements, attacks on girls’ schooling, and other violations.39

Women’s central roles in many families and communities also afford them a unique vantage point from which to recognize unusual patterns of behavior and forecast impending conflict. In Afghanistan, women observed that young men were being recruited at weddings; after their concerns went unheeded, these recruits killed thirty-two civilians on a bus.40 In Libya, local women warned of rising radicalism after observing an increased flow of Western female recruits, signaling a growing market for wives as the Islamic State expanded its stronghold. They also reported rising attacks on their own rights, including harassment for driving without a male guardian.41 As in Afghanistan, these warnings were disregarded, providing the Islamic State leaders additional time to establish a headquarters before counterterrorism efforts ramped up.

Security Actors

Female security officials provide distinct insights and information that can be mission critical. Women serving as security leaders are able to conduct searches of female fighters in ways that men often cannot; strategically deploying women can thereby prevent extremists from evading screening. Female security officials also have access to populations and sites that men do not, allowing them to gather critical intelligence about potential security threats.42 Furthermore, women’s participation in the military and police has been shown to improve how a local community perceives law enforcement, which, in turn, improves their ability to provide security.43

The underrepresentation of women in security roles, however, creates a vulnerability that terrorist groups exploit to their advantage. Women comprise just 15 percent of police forces globally; in South Asia, women make up less than 2 percent of the force in Pakistan, less than 7 percent in Bangladesh, and less than 8 percent in India.44 Female combatants can hide suicide devices under their clothing knowing that they are unlikely to encounter a female security official and therefore will not be searched. Without efforts to improve gender gaps in national
security roles, female extremists will retain an advantage in eluding suspicion and arrest.

**Preventers**

Traditional efforts by governments and nongovernmental organizations to combat radicalization typically focus on outreach to predominantly male political and religious leaders. However, the prominent role that many women play in their families and communities renders them especially effective in diminishing the ability of extremist groups to recruit and mobilize. Women are well positioned to challenge extremist narratives in homes, schools, and social environments. Women have particular influence among youth populations, a frequent target of extremists. In more conservative societies—where communicating with women is limited to other women or their male relatives—women have unique access to intervene with women and girls at risk of radicalization.

Small-scale efforts to involve women show promise. A program in Morocco deploys women religious scholars around the country to counter radical interpretations of Islam—they were better able to reach community members than their male counterparts because of their social ties and ability to build trust. In Nigeria, an interfaith group of Muslim and Christian women came together in the wake of an extremist attack and successfully supported community policing efforts in regions with high levels of intercommunity violence. An Indonesian program provided wives of incarcerated jihadis with psychological and economic support, which helped them rehabilitate and reintegrate formerly violent combatants into their community, breaking the cycle of extremism.

Despite the important role women can play as preventers of terrorism, women’s groups are rarely considered relevant partners in counterterrorism efforts, and their work remains chronically underfunded. Furthermore, when counterterrorism officials develop policy without input from local women, they risk entrenching harmful social norms about women’s place in society that undermine women’s rights. In addition, regulations intended to cut down on terrorist financing are making it harder for women’s groups—including those that work against radicalization—to function. Women’s civil society organizations are typically smaller and less financially resilient, making it difficult for many of them to meet the compliance requirements associated with counterterrorist financing regimes. In some instances, governments
from Egypt to Russia have criminalized feminist activity in the name of counterterrorism, targeting women’s civil society organizations that challenge the status quo in their countries. Ensuring that women’s groups can receive funding without falling afoul of antiterrorism laws would increase their contributions to counterterrorism efforts, while also fulfilling the state’s obligations under international law to ensure nondiscrimination and equality.

**TARGETS**

Many extremist groups benefit both strategically and financially from the subjugation of women. A number of terrorist groups use human trafficking as a means to recruit new members and finance their operations. The Islamic State systematically bought and sold women and girls through sales contracts notarized by Islamic State–run courts. The group attracted thousands of male recruits by offering kidnapped women and girls as “wives,” and generated significant revenue through sex trafficking, sexual slavery, and extortion through ransom. The United Nations estimated that ransom payments extracted by the Islamic State amounted to between $35 million and $45 million in 2013 alone. This practice is deployed by other terrorist groups as well: in northern Nigeria and the Lake Chad region, Boko Haram abducts women and girls as a deliberate tactic to generate payments through ransom, exchange prisoners, or lure security forces to an ambush. Some of these kidnapped girls are then coerced into suicide attacks; in fact, one in three of Boko Haram’s female suicide bombers is a minor. Sexual violence is also a tactical tool to enforce population compliance, socialize combatants and encourage unit cohesion, displace civilians from strategic areas, and drive instability.

Not only is violence against women and girls a tactic of violent extremists, but it is also a potential warning sign for mass killings. A third of individuals associated with jihadi-inspired attacks inside the United States had a record of domestic abuse or other sexual violence. In the United States, a study of FBI data on mass shootings between 2009 and 2015 found that 57 percent of victims included a spouse, former spouse, or family member, and that in 16 percent of cases, the attackers had a history of perpetrating domestic violence.

The stigma associated with sexual violence waged by extremists remains a potent force that marginalizes women in the economic sphere and can result in isolation and a loss of marriage prospects, leading to a lifetime of poverty. Children born of rape frequently experience
discrimination and exclusion from services: offspring of girls captured by Boko Haram are stigmatized as having “bad blood” and are significantly more likely to be abused and uneducated. Survivors of physical and psychological trauma often struggle to recover, and the ramifications compound across generations.

The use of sexual violence and subjugation of women serves an additional tactical purpose of manipulating perceptions of masculinity to recruit men. Extremist groups whose ideologies subjugate women reinforce oppressive gender roles and promise men supremacy, respect, and sexual partners. Such groups promise an alternative path to manhood when social or economic markers of masculinity are not available. For example, these groups can provide wives for men in societies where economic barriers, including high bride price, put marriage out of reach, a phenomenon that is linked to broader social instability and susceptibility to radicalization.

COUNTRY PROFILES

Evaluating how women perpetrate and prevent terrorism in different countries demonstrates their centrality to counterterrorism programs and policy. Efforts to increase women’s participation in police forces in Afghanistan illustrate how they improve operational effectiveness, as well as the social and cultural barriers they face. Terrorist activity in Nigeria demonstrates the varied roles women play—including as perpetrators, mitigators, and targets—and how ignoring their contributions undermines security efforts. Women’s experiences in Northern Ireland exemplify the long history of women’s involvement in perpetrating political violence and countering extremism. And in the United States, women’s radicalization and participation in violent extremism at home and abroad is on the rise, paralleled by women’s leadership in the intelligence community and security sectors.

Afghanistan

In Afghanistan, efforts to recruit and train more female police officers have highlighted the ways in which women can strengthen counterterrorism efforts—as well as the challenges they face. Female officers are tasked with searching women and children during raids and at checkpoints, filling a critical security gap. In neighboring Pakistan, policewomen are often seconded to the army to help with counterinsurgency operations, because security forces’ entering private homes without a
female officer would alienate the local community and undermine their capacity to understand and anticipate extremist threats.69

Recognizing this, in 2013, the U.S. Congress designated a minimum of $25 million in the National Defense Authorization Act to support women in the Afghan security forces.70 At the time, women comprised only 1 percent of the Afghan National Police. Today, the North Atlantic Treaty Organization (NATO)–led mission to train and assist Afghan security forces aims to increase women’s representation to 10 percent by 2021.71 However, increasing the proportion of women demands more than recruitment, as women who join the police force face serious challenges, including social stigma from their communities, sexual harassment from colleagues, and targeted violence because of their easily recognized uniforms.72 There are also few opportunities for women’s advancement in the police force, which the Afghan government is addressing through creating women-only positions and eliminating limitations on the types of jobs women can hold.73 Recruiting and retaining female security officers will require significant efforts to improve educational and training opportunities, address internal harassment and discrimination, and shift social and cultural norms.

Nigeria

In 2014, Boko Haram, a jihadi group allied with the Islamic State, made global headlines after kidnapping 276 Chibok schoolgirls, resulting in an international effort to attain their release. Following the abduction, Boko Haram began a widespread campaign using female suicide bombers, including several girls they had forcibly recruited. Between 2014 and 2018, more than 450 women and girls were deployed in suicide attacks; at least a third of them were teenagers or young children.74 These female suicide bombers have been so effective—killing more than 1,200 people over just four years—that today women comprise close to two-thirds of the group’s suicide attackers.75 Their success is due in part to their exploiting the gender gap in the Nigerian security forces, which lack female security officials to search women.

Efforts to deradicalize and rehabilitate female Boko Haram combatants reveal the complexity of women’s roles in terrorist groups. Women who were initially abducted sometimes shift their roles to better their situation, because of personal relationships, or because of indoctrination of radical ideas. Other women report voluntarily joining the terrorist group because of social or political pressures, or for economic opportunities offered by Boko Haram that were not available in their
conservative communities. In parts of Nigeria that have been devastated by government and militia violence, women who return from Boko Haram receive little socioeconomic support and often face stigma, sexual violence, and poverty. Their families and communities sometimes view them with suspicion, and their children born of militants are more likely to be abused or remain uneducated. These factors fuel an intergenerational cycle of extremism, leading some women to rejoin Boko Haram and leaving their children vulnerable to radicalization.

To address this complexity, female civil society leaders have launched grassroots initiatives, partnering with local leaders, governments, and security officials to combat radicalization and build community resilience. The Allamin Foundation for Peace and Development provides comprehensive support to former wives, abductees, and combatants affiliated with Boko Haram. In concert with Islamic scholars, the foundation developed counter-narratives that have been deployed through radio programs and resulted in a 40 percent increase in children’s enrollment in public schools. Psychologist Fatima Akilu’s Neem Foundation builds community management teams comprising security officials, faith leaders, and women’s organizations, offering tools and networks to help identify and deter radicalization. Mobile counseling units provide psychological services for displaced communities, addressing the isolation on which recruiters prey.

Northern Ireland

From the 1960s to the 1990s, Northern Ireland was immersed in a political conflict known as the Troubles, which resulted in more than 1,800 civilian deaths from cross fire between British security forces and paramilitary groups. Women were active participants in supporting and sustaining terrorist violence on both the nationalist and unionist sides. The Irish Republican Army (IRA) celebrated women’s participation by featuring prominent martyrs such as Maire Drumm and Mairead Farrell in group propaganda. Some of these women deployed gender-specific tactics: in 1990, a young IRA militant concealed explosives under the guise of a late-term pregnancy. Although she was caught on her way to place the bomb at the Belfast airport, the tactic was copied by others in Northern Ireland and around the world. Women also actively disrupted counterinsurgency efforts: in response to arbitrary raids and arrests, women in Catholic neighborhoods established regular patrols and banged pots and pans to warn nationalist militants of approaching security forces. Though women were less visible in
unionist paramilitaries, the Ulster Defence Association included up to two dozen women’s units.85

Northern Irish women also laid cross-community foundations for a successful peace agreement in 1998. Notable women-led groups, including Derry Peace Women, Peace People, and Women Together, mobilized thousands of men and women in public protest demanding an end to paramilitary violence.86 Their pressure was a critical factor in bringing parties to the table for serious negotiations. The Northern Ireland Women’s Coalition played an important role in the successful Good Friday process, ensuring that potential deal breakers were incorporated into the talks and advocating for human rights provisions to prevent future extremist violence.87

United States

Domestically, violent political organizations across the ideological spectrum rely on female recruitment.88 Women extremists have perpetrated deadly attacks in the homeland, notably Islamist Tashfeen Malik, the attacker in the 2015 San Bernardino, California, attack.89 Over the last twenty years, the number of female supporters of far-right groups has grown drastically; women have played a particularly important role in spreading extremist ideas online.90 Women also have a critical presence among American jihadists, especially in developing recruitment networks.91 One of the first American jihadi travelers—and the first known American to have been killed in Syria—was Nicole Lynn Mansfield, a woman from Flint, Michigan.92

Radicalized American women tend to commit the same types of crimes and have about the same success rate as radicalized men.93 Yet they are less likely to be arrested and convicted, and they ultimately serve shorter-than-average sentences for terrorism-related crimes, highlighting a discrepancy in treatment and leaving a security threat unaddressed.94 The phenomenon of American women who have become Islamic State affiliates now hoping to return to the United States raises significant questions about accountability and reintegration into American society.

U.S. women have also ascended to leadership roles in the counter-terrorism field across administrations, including service as secretaries of homeland security, White House homeland security advisors, CIA directors, and senior intelligence officials. Women currently hold many top CIA positions and comprise about a third of the Senior Intelligence Service.95 Some of the intelligence community’s most critical missions
have been led by women; more than half the analysts in Alec Station, the team charged with finding Osama bin Laden, were women. And many have lost their lives on the job, such as Jennifer Matthews, one of the CIA’s top al-Qaeda experts, who was killed in a bombing in Afghanistan, and Shannon Kent, who served alongside special operations forces to target Islamic State leaders until her death in Syria in 2019.

At the community level, American women are leading prevention and intervention programs, bringing a gender lens to domestic reintegration work. Angela King, cofounder of Life After Hate, is a former far-right extremist leader who created woman-centric propaganda before her arrest in 1998. Since her release from prison, she has worked to counter extremism through public awareness campaigns and individual support for those exiting white nationalist movements.
POLLICY CONSIDERATIONS
FOR THE UNITED STATES

In recent years, as evidence of women’s contributions to perpetrating and preventing violent extremist activity has grown, the United States has begun to pay closer attention to the role of women in preventing terrorism. Yet more can and should be done to incorporate women into U.S. strategies to combat the threat of terrorism at home and abroad. To safeguard U.S. security interests, the country’s counterterrorism policy should mitigate the danger posed by female extremists while involving women from the outset as partners in the fight against terrorism.

CURRENT U.S. AND GLOBAL POLICY

Over the past three consecutive presidential administrations, the U.S. government has taken steps to grow women’s participation in counterterrorism efforts, yet most advances on this issue have remained detached from broader counterterrorism policy and initiatives, leading to insufficient resourcing. Drawing on lessons from the George W. Bush administration’s programs that invested in women leaders as counterterrorism partners, the Barack Obama administration issued a series of policies—including the 2011 U.S. National Action Plan on Women, Peace, and Security and its 2016 revision, and the 2016 joint U.S. State Department–U.S. Agency for International Development (USAID) strategy to counter violent extremism—that referenced women’s contributions as critical to combating violent extremism.99 The bipartisan Women, Peace, and Security Act of 2017—signed into law by President Trump—echoes this commitment, although it remains to be seen how the Trump administration’s forthcoming Women, Peace, and Security strategy to implement the act will address this issue.
The most substantive policy to date was developed in 2019 by the State Department and USAID per congressional request, and outlined commitments to support women and girls at risk from extremism and conflict. However, these commitments have been generally absent from broader U.S. counterterrorism policy, including the 2011 and 2018 U.S. National Strategies for Counterterrorism, which largely ignore women. And while earmarked funding has supported women and girls at risk from extremism, it represents only a negligible fraction of the broader budget for counterterrorism, resulting in many missed opportunities where women’s contributions could have improved the effectiveness of U.S. operations. To address this gap, a bipartisan group in the U.S. House of Representatives introduced legislation in 2019 to require U.S. counterterrorism policy to address the roles that women play as perpetrators, mitigators, and victims of terrorism.

Although the United States has taken steps in recent years to grow the number of women in national security positions, their representation remains uneven across departments and agencies. A lack of diversity in the U.S. national security apparatus handicaps its ability to effectively detect and deter female combatants. Women hold about a third of the senior roles at the State Department and CIA, but at the Defense Department they comprise only 16 percent of active duty forces and hold fewer than 10 percent of leadership positions. In Congress, only one member of the Senate Foreign Relations Committee is a woman (5 percent), and women comprise just 15 percent of the House Foreign Affairs Committee. Women represent approximately 20 percent of both the House and Senate Intelligence Committees, and almost a third of the Senate Armed Services and Homeland Security and Governmental Affairs Committees.
In parallel to progress in U.S. policy, other governments and multilateral organizations around the world have begun to appreciate the critical importance of women’s participation in counterterrorism efforts. In 2015, the United Nations adopted Security Council Resolution 2242, the first to focus on the implications of women’s roles in both perpetrating and countering terrorism. Since then, gender considerations have been mainstreamed throughout UN counterterrorism bodies and policies, including the secretary-general’s Plan of Action to Prevent Violent Extremism and the 2018 Global Counterterrorism Strategy, both of which urge member states to prioritize women’s radicalization. The Global Counterterrorism Forum—a multilateral body comprising twenty-nine countries and the European Union, aimed at preventing, combating, and prosecuting terrorism—now hosts a working group on countering violent extremism focused on women’s roles and the influence of gender.101 And the Organization for Security and Cooperation in Europe has provided comprehensive guidelines on how its nearly sixty participating states from across Central Asia, Europe, and North America can integrate gender considerations in national responses to violent extremism.

Some nations have adopted explicit policies to recognize women’s roles in counterterrorism, but as with the U.S. government’s approach, these policies are usually disjointed from broader security initiatives and lack resources for implementation. The United Kingdom committed to deploy women to amplify prevention measures at the community level in its 2011 national counterterrorism policy. In 2015, the League of Arab States agreed to a regional plan on women’s involvement in peace and security efforts that includes a focus on terrorism response, an approach that has been reflected in national plans across the region. Jordan’s plan, for instance, seeks to combat radicalization through the meaningful participation of women in counterterrorism efforts.102 In Africa, both Kenya and Somalia issued counterterrorism strategies that recognize gender as a factor in violent extremism and promote gender equality to increase resiliency against terror.103 Yet several national action plans on preventing violent extremism, including those from Finland and Norway, do not even mention women.104 These policy gaps are accompanied by resource gaps that, like in the United States, leave counterterrorism forces at a disadvantage.
RECOMMENDATIONS FOR THE UNITED STATES

Counterterrorism policies that underestimate or ignore the role women play as perpetrators, mitigators, and victims of terrorism jeopardize U.S. security interests and cede a strategic advantage to terrorist organizations. As part of the Trump administration’s commitment to advancing U.S. security interests, the U.S. government should increase its support for women’s contributions to combating extremism.

Critics could allege that gender-neutral counterterrorism efforts are effective, targeting the most dangerous extremists—men or women—and that a specific focus on women will distract from this goal. However, evidence suggests that gender-blind approaches risk susceptibility to stereotypes that allow female fighters to evade counterterrorism efforts, and fail to recognize the noncombatant support roles often held by women. Gender-neutral approaches can hamper prevention efforts through overreliance on community leaders who are predominantly male, thereby overlooking the capacity of women who wield considerable influence in their homes and communities. Furthermore, this argument obscures the fact that gender already influences U.S. risk assessments that focus on military-age males.

Others will question the diversion of resources to focus on women and gender at a time of significant security challenges at home and abroad and restricted budgets, especially given that men remain more likely than women to hold combatant roles. However, assumptions and long-standing stereotypes obscure both the rising number of women who serve as perpetrators and the critical role women play in support capacities or as victims of violence that aids and abets the success of terrorist groups. To improve program effectiveness and reduce extremism, a greater commitment of resources is needed to understand the complex ways in which gender affects recruitment, radicalization, and reintegration—for both men and women—as well as greater attention to women’s roles in terrorism.

To strengthen U.S. efforts to prevent terrorism and promote stability, the White House—together with the intelligence community, the Departments of Defense, Justice, State, and Treasury, and USAID—should both address the security risk posed by female extremists and increase the role of women in its counterterrorism efforts. The United States also should lead by example by taking meaningful steps to include women in domestic efforts to protect the homeland.

Congress should encourage these steps and provide oversight by passing legislation. For example, House bill 1653 on women and
countering violent extremism would ensure that U.S. policy and programs address the roles women play as perpetrators, preventers, and victims of terrorism.

**Combat the Sources of Terrorist Support**

_The director of national intelligence should produce a National Intelligence Estimate._ Diminishing the capacity of terrorists to conduct attacks requires addressing the many and varied forms of support women provide to extremist groups. To better understand the ways in which women facilitate and support extremist groups, the director of national intelligence should produce a National Intelligence Estimate and form an operational task force on the relationship between women, violent extremism, and terrorism, including an analysis of women’s roles as recruiters, sympathizers, perpetrators, and combatants.

_The Departments of State and Treasury should block extremist groups’ access to assets raised through abduction for trafficking, trading, and sexual exploitation._ Regarding continued material support for the Islamic State, the State and Treasury Departments should work with partners in the Global Coalition to Defeat ISIS to provide technical assistance to the Iraqi government to locate the estimated three thousand Yazidi women and girls—members of a religious minority targeted and kidnapped by the Islamic State—still enslaved and used to profit the group.

**Address Radicalization and Recruitment**

_The United States should establish an advisory council on preventing terrorism that includes a focus on women’s roles in perpetrating and preventing terrorism._ The U.S. government’s implementation of its national counterterrorism strategy should capitalize on women’s full participation in preventing and countering violent extremism, including by addressing gender-specific drivers of radicalization and terrorist recruitment strategies. To assist this effort, the U.S. secretary of state, in consultation with the secretary of defense, the CIA director, and the USAID administrator, should create an advisory council on terrorism that recognizes women’s roles. In addition, to increase program effectiveness, U.S.-funded counterterrorism organizations should regularly consult women leaders to shape prevention, deradicalization, and rehabilitation programs, recognizing that terrorist efforts to radicalize,
recruit, and mobilize new members often rely on gendered narratives and stereotypes.

The United States should target messages to women at risk of radicalization. Such messages have been effective, such as in South and Southeast Asia, where Mythos Labs developed short YouTube videos with local social influencers and comedians that used humor to parody patriarchal messages in India and poke fun at extremist recruiting practices in Indonesia. The videos were well received and corresponded with a 10 percent reduction in pro–Islamic State tweets from the region over the three weeks after the videos were posted. In Germany, the Lola for Democracy program counters the influence of right-wing extremism and the growing role of female extremists in the movement by advising schools on how to promote counter-messages emphasizing diversity and equality, in addition to training police officers, teachers, and journalists on gender in right-wing extremism.

The U.S. government should build on the 2018 Group of Seven security ministers’ commitments on gender and counterterrorism by enlisting the Group of Twenty nations to adopt similar commitments. It also should encourage governments to classify survivors of sexual violence by terrorist or extremist groups as victims of terrorism and thus undermine efforts to isolate victims and weaken communities.

The U.S. intelligence community should overhaul its assessments to include women’s rights. Globally, women’s rights and physical integrity are often the first targets of fundamentalists. The intelligence community should require data collection of indicators related to women’s equality and autonomy as potential early warning signs of growing fundamentalist influence, and should encourage its allies to follow suit.

The State Department’s annual country reports on terrorism should include a gender analysis of the factors relevant to violent extremism. The Departments of Defense and State and USAID should conduct or commission at least one research product per fiscal year on gender and preventing terrorism, and ensure that policies and programs are informed by its results.

Increase Partnerships

The U.S. government should invest at least $250 million annually to facilitate women’s involvement in terrorism prevention efforts. The United States should direct resources through the Global Community...
Engagement and Resilience Fund to support women’s efforts to reduce marginalization, counter propaganda, and reintegrate returned foreign terrorist fighters. The United States has provided support for numerous research and program initiatives aimed at partnering with women to counter violent extremism. Now is the time to scale successful initiatives and incorporate them into core counterterrorism programs and budgets.

**U.S. security cooperation efforts should provide technical assistance to increase the recruitment, retention, and advancement of women in security sectors.** Terrorist and violent extremist groups exploit the absence of women in the security sector. The secretary of state should increase the participation of women in the Department of State’s Antiterrorism Assistance program, with the goal of doubling within three years the total number of women receiving training. Furthermore, the U.S. government should require all countries participating in its security and justice programs to send delegations that are at least 30 percent female, a threshold supported by research on representation to facilitate women’s inclusion. This target would not only enable additional training opportunities for women who could otherwise be overlooked in the participant selection process, but would also set a norm of male and female national security officials working together. Furthermore, U.S. training and support for police forces should encourage the participation of women in community-police dialogues on counterterrorism.

**The U.S. government should help fund a new position proposed by the UN Office of Counterterrorism to expand their outreach to civil society, including women-led organizations.** Likewise, Washington should encourage similar outreach by national governments and by the UN Counterterrorism Executive Directorate to capitalize on the information and capacity women offer in preventing extremism.

**The U.S. government should encourage the private sector to foster partnerships with women to combat radicalization.** For example, when companies provide youth populations at risk of radicalization with vocational training, mentoring, or job opportunities, they should also include targeted support for female youth. In addition, Washington should encourage the technology industry—which has a critical role in countering extremist narratives and messages online—to include more content tailored for women. Google’s Redirect Method uses targeted advertising and preexisting YouTube content to divert people looking for extremist content; these efforts would be made stronger by targeting women as well as men.
Protect the Homeland

Women are present in nearly half of all violent political organizations in the United States, ranging from white nationalist militias to environmental extremist groups.¹¹⁰ Yet law enforcement and the criminal justice system often replicate the same blind spots when working to combat radicalization in the United States.

The Department of Homeland Security should invest $50 million at the state and local government level to prevent women’s radicalization. Domestic communication efforts should target messages to reach women, both those at risk of radicalization and those poised to mitigate it. Messages should offer a broad range of interpretations of Islam and draw more broadly on available lessons from the State Department’s Global Engagement Center.

The U.S. government should allow all female Islamic State affiliates to return to face trial in U.S. courts. It should simultaneously ensure that the criminal justice system requires accountability for women on an equal basis to men. Federal and state judicial and law enforcement officials involved in terrorism cases should receive training to promote a more nuanced approach to dealing with female extremists that takes into account their agency as well as potential coercion and trauma. U.S. courts should also incorporate lessons from sexual assault prosecution to avoid re-traumatizing victims, witnesses, and other survivors participating in the justice process.

The U.S. government should expand the representation of women across the national security apparatus. Just as female security officials and civil society leaders bring unique advantages to counterterrorism operations across the globe, their perspectives are needed to bring innovative thinking to countering domestic extremism. It should take steps to increase the proportion of women in the U.S. national security sector, from the military and intelligence apparatus to law enforcement, by doubling recruitment, promotion, and retention efforts and maintaining rigorous implementation of antidiscrimination laws. To improve U.S. preparedness and lead by example, the Women, Peace, and Security Act of 2017 should be amended to include prevention of violent extremism and terrorism in required training for U.S. government officials.

The U.S. government should invest in research on women to better understand women’s participation in domestic extremist movements. Efforts should include sex-disaggregating the FBI’s reported data on perpetrators and supporting academic efforts to collect information on extremists.
CONCLUSION

Given the rise in women’s participation in extremist groups, the United States can no longer afford to ignore the ways in which women can strengthen counterterrorism efforts. To improve U.S. counterterrorism strategy, the Trump administration should counter the exploitation of women by extremist groups by involving more women in antiradicalization and recruitment efforts and increasing women’s participation in the security sector at home and abroad.
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